APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/860,844	9/29/97	WEININGER	GP-100C1

EXAMINER
MARSCHEL, A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1631	27

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DAVID SALWANCHIK (appl rep) (3) MARGARET EFRON (appl. rep)

(2) COREY CHO (appl rep) (4) ARDIN MARSCHEL (Exr)

Date of Interview 5-20-02

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description:_____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 28 and 49

Identification of prior art discussed: Frankel et al. and Essigmann et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed a possible amdt to clm 49 to overcome Essigmann et al. using existing wording. We also discussed the basic invention as to comparing it to common binding reactions and possible ways of distinguishing the claimed invention over the art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has are ready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

FORM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)